7 December 2022 FINAL

To support the council's selection of the public realm services Future Operating Model (FOM), engagement took place with officers, Group Leaders and cross party Members, to understand what was important to the council regarding these services, and what had been leant from the current operating model.

The consensus from this engagement was that the any new arrangements should provide the council with greater assurance, be flexible and agile, be aligned to the council's new environmental and net zero carbon requirements, provide the council with expertise when required, ensure VFM and service quality services focussed upon providing excellent service to the customer.

From this engagement the following eight "Key Objectives" were derived:

Key	Description of what the Council would like to achieve
Objective	μ
Assurance	The council seeks a level of assurance regarding the operation of the service, where technical staff are directed by council employed staff who commission the services, manage the network, develop the annual plans, provide technical expertise and provide adequate levels of scrutiny, challenge and assurance to services being delivered by the contractors.
Flexibility	The new arrangements need to be able to flex to deliver seasonal workload fluctuations, changes to budgets (up and down) and resilience to deliver the council's annual works programme, infrastructure projects and support with civil emergencies.
Environment	The future arrangements must be aligned with the council's commitments to carbon net zero and wider environmental requirements.
Customer	The services must be focussed on delivering excellent customer services where the quality of service, digital reporting and access to information (CRM) and speed of response are key factors.
Expertise	To deliver the broad range of public realm services, the council will require access to industry expertise, best practice (what is working

	well in other areas) and innovation to develop and improve services continually.
VFM	Any contracted services resulting from the model selected must be attractive to the market to ensure interest and competition. The model selected must promote continual improvement, innovation and efficiencies and evolve during its term.
Risk	The future arrangements and any supporting contracts must place an appropriate balance of risk between the council and any providers, in the knowledge the council will ultimately pay for risk held by the provider.
Social Value	Social Value refers to the wider financial and nonfinancial value created by an organisation through its day to day activities in terms of the wellbeing of individuals and communities, social capital created and the environment.

Following investigation in the market and trends in public sector public realm service redesign and procurement, six models were selected.

Model No.	Model Type
1	Council deliver all services in house
2	Council core services and works delivered in house, with contracts for specialist top up services and major works
3	In house client and technical staff with framework for top up professional services and multiple contracts for works
4	In house client and technical staff with framework for top up professional services and single contract for works
5	In house client and technical staff with existing provider (single contract) for top up professional services and works
6	Thin client with sole integrated contract for professional services and all works

Scoring was undertaken as follows with equal weighting applied:

Score	Description
0	Does not meet the objective
1	Meets only minor aspects of the objective
2	Meets some aspects of the objective
3	Meets most aspects of the objective
4	Meets fully the requirements of the objective

Outcome of the options appraisal:

Ranking	Model	Score
1	Model 5- In house client and technical staff with existing provider (single contract) for top up professional services and works	24
2	Model 4- In house client and technical staff with framework for top up professional services and single contract for works	22
3	Model 2- Council core services and works delivered in house, with contracts for specialist top up services and major works	21
4	Model 6- Thin client with sole integrated contract for professional services and all works	20
5	Model 1- Council deliver all services in house	17
6	Model 3- In house client and technical staff with framework for top up professional services and multiple contracts for works	16

The options appraisal of the six models is provided below, with scores applied for each Key Objective, with a description to support the scoring applied.

Note- Model 6 is the current model that has been operating since 2013.

Delive	ry Models	Assurance	Flexibility	Environment	Customer	Expertise	VFM	Risk	Social Value	Total
1	Council deliver all services in house	In house services provide the high level of assurance that the council seek, which could be designed to meet environmental, social and customer requirements and adapt over the term. The council would invest and provide the IT/CRM and fleet. The model scores poorly due to a lack of market expertise, lack of flexibility in the absence of a large contractor and their supply chains, places a high level of risk on the council and is expected to cost significantly more than the current service arrangements.								
2	Council core services and works delivered in house, with contracts for specialist top up services and major works	4 2 3 3 2 2 2 3 Core in house services provide the high level of assurance that council seek, which could be designed to meet environmental, sand customer requirements. The council would invest and provide the IT/CRM and core fleet. This model places a high degree of risk onto the council. The contracts for delivery of the specialist services and the maj works with limited scope, committed value (pipeline), may strug attract market competition (VFM) and will be unlikely to provide industry expertise of a large provider working in partnership with								or ggle to

Delivery Models 3 In house client and		⇔ Assurance	5 Flexibility	5 Environment	Customer Customer	5 Expertise	3 VFM	1 Risk	Social Value	9 Total
	staff with framework for top up professional services and multiple contracts for works	assura The co could expert Multip Tier TI Multip the IT/ counci challel Multip and so provid but thi additio	ence that ontracts be proceed in the works three proceed in the small or the small	for delivered from support as package oviders. The province of	uncil see very of sp m a fram ing flexib ge would ders may ould need ple provi co-ordin ders scor council of e the cos et by the	ek. pecialist ework of pility. be most struggle d to be peders which ation of we re poorly contracting the base of council h	will provi	nal serviced by the up from the create sering many with sing one propertisk.	rices (toping some of tin flee ed by the some her serv arket exp maller rovider (wo and t and e ices. pertise

Delivery Models 4 In house		ω Assurance	ε Flexibility	© Environment	Customer S	s Expertise	W LL>	2 Risk	ω Social Value	22 Total
	client and technical staff with framework for top up professional services and single contract for works	assura The co could expert Works One o compe A sing front in custor The si and so arrang This m profes Re-ter materi	ance that ontracts be processive and sin a	for delivered from supported from supported from the large and the large and with the elivers and the vices for the version of	uncil see very of sp m a fram ing flexib kage woo provider e scope a er could of T/CRM s ned to the so score would be council. good ba provider vorks in t challeng	ework of oility. In and scale reasonably stem, to be councilled well on the expected and sing this current es, could	will provi	nal service delivering provided to a good lestrategy and a partner contracted to a diversel	ices (toping some description of the description of	e wider ier up tise

Delive	ry Models	Assurance	Flexibility	Environment	Customer	Expertise	VFM	Risk	Social Value	Total
5	In house client and	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	24
	4 a a la milla a l									

client and
technical
staff with
existing
provider
(single
contract) for
top up
professional
services and
works

In house client and core technical staff will provide the level of assurance that the council seek. The staff in-sourced will cost slightly more due to the council's more generous terms and conditions and pension scheme, although this cost could be recovered by direct control with more efficient working practices. The in-sourcing involves a risk that some of the staff identified to be in-sourced do not take up the offer, leaving the council with a short term resource void, and the cost and time of recruiting in a challenging market place.

The current contract with a significant extension of around 7 years (initial period) with a further 3 years subject to satisfactory performance to 2033, will enable the council to work in partnership with the contractor.

This partnership would be used to review and improve the current operations, develop and implement new ways of working, invest in new fleet (environment), enhance the IT/CRM and customer services aligned to the council's emerging digital strategy and launch a rebranded new look service.

The Tier One provider scores well in terms of flexibility (established access to supply chains), market expertise, and striking a good balance of risk with the council and delivering social value.

This model negates a high proportion of the council's costs and risks associated with procuring new contracts, and the funds that the existing provider would need to re-tender could potentially be directed into investing in the Key Objectives.

Delive	ry Models	Assurance	Flexibility	Environment	Customer	Expertise	VFM	Risk	Social Value	Total
6	Thin client with sole	1	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	20
	integrated contract for professional services and all works	This m council This m for flex value. For sethe coowner council delay the metal Procur	il provid ne comm nodel fai il are se nodel wo xibility (service de uncil the ship ont il are su (and rist edium to rement of	ing only nissionir ils to pro eking. ould be a supply of the so to the so bject to k) in the olong te	a thin cling of served attractive hains), end custon f assurar ole provide contract ability of rm.	ient delivices and elevel of to Tier Convironment servinger where change of the could lin the could line line line line line line line line	of the current many of the current many correct of the current many control are control are control are control are current many current many control are current many control are current many current many control are current current many curre	ntract maing the acceptance and color and place and re-pring ange the	anagem annual p ontrol th d score ise and bes not ces too ted by t cing with e service	ent plan. nat the s well social provide much he h a es over